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The KIDS COUNT Data Center provides one comprehensive website of national, state, county and city information to help community members stay up-to-date on key trends in child well-being. The website contains more than 100 indicators and allows users to:

- Create custom reports for a specific county or state;
- Compare and rank data for different states and counties; and
- Design graphics like maps and trend lines to use in presentations, publications or feature on your own website or blog.

The KIDS COUNT Data Center provides state- and county-level data for all 87 counties in Minnesota. These data are collected by KIDS COUNT grantees (including CDF-MN) for use in their data books and other publications. All county-level data that were previously published in the Minnesota KIDS COUNT Data Book are available through the interactive KIDS COUNT Data Center website—datacenter.kidscount.org.
Tia* entered foster care at age 3. By the time she was 14, she had lived in 47 different homes. At 14, she was adopted by a friend’s family. But at age 19, she found herself disconnected from her adopted family, pregnant and living in a homeless shelter. Tia was connected with Simpson Housing Services (SHS) in Minneapolis. She started receiving supportive housing, parental support and education, and, once her daughter was born, early childhood education services. Now, Tia works full time, receives an affordable housing subsidy from SHS and her daughter attends a child care program with assistance from the Child Care Assistance Program. Tia is still working hard with SHS to ensure her daughter, now 3 years old, has a safe and healthy foundation in her life.

It’s families like Tia’s that are represented in our KIDS COUNT Data. You might find Tia or her daughter counted in the number of single-parent households, children in low-income families or children enrolled in preschool. While data is critical in assessing child well-being, it’s only part of the story. Data doesn’t explain what day-to-day life is like for Minnesotans like Tia and her daughter or exactly how circumstances and events affect their outcomes. To CDF-MN, children and their families are more than just numbers. That’s why the 2014 Minnesota KIDS COUNT Data Book will look different from prior years’ books. This year, we’re weaving in stories from parents and their children to inform policymakers, elected officials and child advocates of what real families are experiencing. We asked them for their solutions to the complex issues that face children and families across Minnesota.

Tia put it best. “[Advocates and politicians] should look into real people’s lives to understand the urgency of getting people on programs that help.”

We’re framing the conversation around the family budget. Family income affects child outcomes. So what better way to assess if families have the resources not only to survive, but to thrive, than by evaluating the family budget? Each month families across the state assess their income, taxes, other resources and expenses to calculate what’s leftover. For many families, and not just families living near the poverty thresholds, impossible decisions include which bills will have to be paid late this month or whether or not a visit to the food shelf is necessary. By providing data and family stories, we hope to convey what life is like for these families that live paycheck to paycheck and how this family budget dilemma affects child outcomes. We hope a comprehensive look into the family budget will spark conversation and policies that increase family resources to improve child outcomes.

—Children’s Defense Fund-Minnesota, October 2014

*Tia chose not to provide her last name.
INTRODUCTION: Budgeting for Better Child Outcomes

Children thrive when their basic needs are met and they have opportunities to learn, grow and explore. In turn, communities thrive when children grow up to be strong, healthy and prepared for college and careers. With a stagnating child population and a booming older generation, Minnesota’s future depends on every child in the state having access to basic needs such as food, health care and housing. It is also important that all children have equal access to opportunities that help guarantee a brighter future such as high-quality early education, summer learning programs and college preparation classes. This combination of basic needs and access to opportunities is a recipe for ensuring children grow up healthy and socially and academically prepared to contribute to the growth of the state’s economy and the success of future generations.

However, for many Minnesota children, family economic instability prevents them from accessing not only enriching social and academic opportunities, but also their basic needs. While children in poverty are most at risk for having insufficient access to healthy food, high-quality child care, stable housing and preventative health care, children in families at even two to three times the Federal Poverty Level are also at risk for not having what they need to thrive.

How Is Poverty Defined?

Statistically, poverty is defined by the U.S. Census Bureau using the poverty thresholds. The thresholds are determined by family income, family size and the number of related children under age 18. The poverty threshold in 2012 for a family of four with two children was $23,283 a year.

Though the poverty thresholds are widely accepted as the official line between Americans who statistically live in poverty and those who don’t, it’s also widely accepted that the measure is extremely outdated. Originally created in 1964, the poverty thresholds were calculated based on research stating that [at that time] food costs were one-third of a family budget. The initial poverty thresholds were calculated by multiplying household food costs by three. Since 1964, the only adjustments made to the poverty thresholds have been annual adjustments for inflation. Now it’s estimated that food costs are one-seventh of a family budget, and the overall cost of living is higher when adjusted for inflation. Moreover, the thresholds are not adjusted by region, so the same thresholds apply for families in the 48 contiguous states despite drastic regional differences in the cost of living.
The Supplemental Poverty Measure

The Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM) was created in 2011 by the U.S. Census Bureau to more accurately measure the number of families without sufficient resources to meet basic needs. The SPM will not replace the poverty thresholds and should be viewed as a work in progress. In addition to family income, the SPM accounts for family resources by taking cash income plus federal government benefits that families can use to meet food, clothing, shelter and utility costs, and then subtracting taxes (plus tax credits), work expenses (commuting and child care) and out-of-pocket medical expenses. The measure also accounts for food, clothing, shelter and utility expenses and a small amount for other needs.

The SPM shows that work support programs such as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Women, Infants & Children (WIC), School Meal Program, tax credits and Energy Assistance, do help families meet basic needs. For example, in 2012, without benefits from the refundable Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and Child Tax Credit, the national SPM child poverty rate would have been higher, 24.7 percent rather than 18 percent.

Measuring Family Economic Stability

Just because a family is one dollar above the poverty threshold does not mean the family can meet basic needs. According to Children’s Defense Fund-Minnesota’s Economic Stability Indicator, a family of four with two children living in Hennepin County needs to earn $45,576 per year to meet the most basic of budgets. That’s about twice the poverty threshold. Families living at twice the poverty level are defined as low-income. Though twice the poverty level is still less than the cost of living for a family, the number of low-income families is a more accurate depiction of families that do not have enough income to meet basic needs. Nearly one-third of Minnesota children live in low-income households by this definition. Research indicates that low-income children face disparities in education, health and development similar to children in poverty.

Effects of Economic Instability on Child Outcomes

It’s really no surprise that research shows that children experiencing food insecurity have more trouble concentrating and behaving in school, children without health insurance are more likely to receive delayed care when they’re sick or injured, and children who don’t attend preschool are less likely to be prepared for and successful in school. For families with minimal income and resources, these issues compound and affect outcomes not only in childhood and adolescence but well into adulthood. Moreover, the younger children are when they experience poverty and the longer the period of time they experience it, the greater the effect on their development and future outcomes. Unfortunately, Minnesota children experience poverty at higher rates when they’re younger—17 percent of children under age 5 live in poverty.

Children growing up in households with lower incomes are more likely to have lower earnings, fewer work hours, poorer health and less schooling as adults. They’re also more likely to get arrested (data on men only) and are more likely to receive food stamps.

To prevent these expensive costs, it is imperative that investments be made early and often in children from lower income households not only to ensure their successful passage into adulthood, but also to maintain the economic vitality of our state. Investments in early childhood education, work support programs and preventative health care will pay off by reducing the costly long-term effects of child poverty.
Who’s at Greater Risk for Economic Instability?

Economic instability happens when families have low incomes, are unable to afford basic needs and have little to no assets. Family economic instability affects some children at higher rates than other children. In particular, children of color and children in single-parent families experience very high rates of economic instability.

Compounded by other barriers such as higher rates of parental unemployment, higher rates of living in poor or unsafe neighborhoods, and structural racism, disparities faced by children of color and American Indian children create a steeper ladder to success.

While Minnesota’s overall child population stagnated, populations of children of color are increasing rapidly. In order for our state to continue to have a strong economy, healthy population and educated workforce, investments that begin early and continue throughout a child’s life are needed to comprehensively address racial disparities in income, education and health.
Single-parent households face additional economic barriers to providing for their families with the most obvious being that there is only one available worker in the household. Moreover, most single-parent families are headed by women who often earn less than men even when working in similar careers. Additional hardships like affording and arranging child care and transportation affect single-parents’ ability to work and earn enough to meet basic needs.

**Children in the Minnesota Family Investment Program**

The Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) is the state’s Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), or welfare-to-work program. Children in families accessing MFIP are some of the state’s most vulnerable to effects of economic instability. For example, one-third (35%) of children in MFIP families are enrolled in Special Education—nearly three times the rate of all children.21 Created with the intention of assisting families in meeting their children’s basic needs, the program has few policies and minimal data collection to assess the well-being of children on the program.22 However, nearly 71 percent of people in households accessing MFIP are children, and the majority of MFIP families have at least one child under age 6.23 The typical MFIP family includes a single mother with two children. For this family of three, MFIP provides a maximum cash grant of $532 and food assistance of $473 per month. The amount of the cash grant has not been raised since 1986. The current Fair Market Rent in Hennepin County is $920, nearly twice the cash grant.
and only 28 percent of MFIP families receive a housing subsidy. Obviously, families accessing MFIP are not able to meet even the most bare bones basic needs budget. Children in households accessing MFIP are at risk of, or are already experiencing, the harmful effects of living in deep poverty that can last a lifetime. Increasing the grant, improving access to high-quality early childhood education and stimulating parents’ earning potential are investments in these children that will prevent high cost remedial services and improve long-term outcomes.

The Family Budget

To assess the compounding effects of economic instability, examining a family budget allows for a closer look at how and why so many families across the state are struggling to provide basic needs for their children. This year’s data book examines how the components of the family budget affect access to basic needs and opportunities. The data book is organized by basic needs expenses: food, housing, health care, child care, transportation and other necessities. Most families have additional expenses beyond covering their basic needs such as debt payments, cell phone bills and school-related expenses. Ideally, families would also have the resources to pay for these expenses and save for emergencies, retirement and college. But first, families must be able to meet their basic needs. To examine the ability of Minnesota families to afford basic needs, each section of the data book will feature a basic need expense with data and information about how families meet (or don’t meet) each expense using income and work support programs. The last section will discuss asset development and how critical it is to sustaining economic stability.

Work Support Programs

Work support programs such as Medicaid, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance (SNAP), Energy Assistance, School Meal and Women, Infants & Children (WIC) were created to help low-wage workers meet their basic needs because federal and state policymakers recognized that low-wage jobs did not provide enough to make ends meet. Tax policies that placed less liability on lower income workers were enacted for similar reasons. Work support programs are often lumped into the category of welfare programs; however, they are uniquely different than traditional cash assistance programs that are aimed at helping people with very little to no income. Work support programs were created to encourage workers to take low-wage jobs rather than rely on welfare or cash programs. In fact, the United States has long relied on government policies to provide incentives for the lower class to build assets, achieve economic security and move into the middle class. For centuries, government has enacted tax policies and programs to influence citizen behavior such as land grants, homestead acts, farm credits, college loans, subsidized retirement accounts, home mortgage deductions, the G.I. Bill and Social Security just to name a few.
People on work support programs aren’t “those people.” They are our neighbors, colleagues, friends and family, who at one point or another are unemployed or earning low wages and turn to work support programs to meet basic needs. At some point in nearly every American’s life, he or she accesses at least one government program. However, many are unaware of how they benefit. In her book The Submerged State, Suzanne Mettler concludes that this lack of awareness by the general public undermines public opinion of social programs. According to a survey reported by Mettler, 57 percent of 1,400 Americans polled said they had never used a government social program. Yet when offered a list of 21 social programs, 94 percent of those who had denied using social programs said they had benefitted from one of the programs on the list.

With proper administration, these programs have been successful in encouraging behavior that has led great numbers of the U.S. population to develop assets that move them into economic security. Likewise, current work support programs have the ability to provide economic stability for low-income families. However, because of the way the programs are funded and administered, there are often unintended consequences that inhibit economic stability and sometimes are counter-productive to the purpose of work support programs. Moreover, due to inadequate funding, barriers to participation and lack of awareness, many families that are eligible for work support programs are not enrolled.

Children’s Defense Fund-Minnesota’s Economic Stability Indicator

The Economic Stability Indicator (ESI) is a web-based project of Children’s Defense Fund-Minnesota to illustrate to policymakers and child advocates the interaction among wages, public programs, tax credits and tax liability in bringing or failing to bring families to economic stability. This tool can be used to demonstrate the gap that exists between low wages and a basic needs budget and the effect public program and tax credits play in filling that gap. The specific goals of the ESI are to:

- Educate policymakers and legislators on how current and proposed legislation and tax policies create unintended consequences such as “cliff effects,” where families’ net resources decline as income increases;
- Demonstrate that these programs and tax credits can fill the gap between low wages and basic expenses and make work pay; and
- Illustrate the difficult decisions low-income families must make to make ends meet, especially when programs are not fully funded.

Throughout this data book, Monthly Net Resource line graphs are included that were generated using the ESI to demonstrate how, if and at what income level families are able to meet their basic needs expenses. The graphs are generated by using the household size and county of the family featured in each section. Monthly Net Resources are determined by subtracting basic needs expenses from monthly income and adding work support program benefits. The basic needs expenses are, when possible, varied by county, relative to what a lower income family would pay, and include food, housing, health care, child care, other necessities and transportation. The work support program benefits calculated are MFIP, SNAP, WIC, School Meal Program, Section 8, Energy Assistance, Child Care Assistance Program, MinnesotaCare, Medical Assistance,
Advanced Premium Tax Credit, Earned Income Tax Credit, Minnesota Working Family Credit, Federal Child Tax Credit, Federal Child Care Tax Credit and Minnesota Child Care Tax Credit.

The Monthly Net Resource line graphs (example at right) document how Monthly Net Resources change as income increases. The graphs include three lines: monthly net resources when enrolled in all work support programs for which they’re eligible, monthly net resources when enrolled in only certain programs for which they’re eligible and the budget break-even point. Showing both scenarios of the family enrolled in all programs and the family enrolled in only some of the programs for which they’re eligible demonstrates how work support programs help to bridge the gap between low wages and basic needs expenses for working families. The two lines also show how family budgets are affected when work supports aren’t available due to waitlists or insufficient funding. The budget break-even point is when the family’s reduced expenses equal their income. When the line(s) are below the break-even point, the family is not able to meet their basic needs expenses even with the work support benefits they receive. When the line(s) are above the break-even point, the family income exceeds their basic needs expenses. ESI also has the ability to demonstrate how tax credits provide an additional boost to family resources. In the Assets Section, there is a line “monthly net resources with tax credits” included in the graphs to demonstrate how tax credits assist families in meeting basic needs and sometimes create opportunities for families to save. For more detailed information about how you or your organization can use ESI or how the expenses, work support benefits, and tax liability and credits are calculated please contact Children’s Defense Fund-Minnesota.
IN A STATE RICH with agriculture and one of the fastest growing economies nationwide, it’s easy to imagine that no child in Minnesota would have to go to bed hungry. Hunger pains shouldn’t be affecting Minnesota children’s ability to pay attention in school or be active and healthy. Far too often, however, families across the state can’t access or afford enough food for their children. Nearly 1 in 6 children in Minnesota live in food insecure households.\textsuperscript{30} Research shows that hunger, especially when persistent, has long-term effects on a child’s development.\textsuperscript{31} To ensure all children are on track developmentally, ready to learn and are healthy, it’s imperative that children have enough nutritious food to eat.

CHILDREN PARTICIPATING IN SNAP EDUCATION\textsuperscript{33}

SNAP-Ed is free nutrition education for SNAP-eligible clients delivered by community nutrition educators from the University of Minnesota Extension and Minnesota Chippewa Tribe.

HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN ENROLLED IN SNAP\textsuperscript{34}

A food desert is an area with limited access to affordable, nutritious food, particularly in low-income neighborhoods.

NUMBER OF CHILDREN WHOSE FAMILIES VISITED FOOD SHELVES (NON-UNIQUE)\textsuperscript{35}

Map courtesy of Zack Avre, Minnesota 2020. Areas in orange are food deserts.
**FAMILY STORY: WHITE BEAR LAKE AREA EMERGENCY FOOD SHELF**

Beth’s budget doesn’t add up. She is unable to work and the only income she gets is from Child Support. The amount she receives is less than her rent. With no cash left to buy food for her and her 12- and 8-year-old daughters, she accesses SNAP and the White Bear Lake Area Emergency Food Shelf to prevent her family from going hungry.

Prior to a car accident that left her permanently disabled and unable to work, Beth worked hard at low-wage jobs to get by. When her daughters were younger, WIC and health care programs filled the gap between her low wages and meeting basic needs.

“I had a little job and I was struggling and I wasn’t receiving Child Support. I had to pay for child care,” Beth said. “I didn’t have the time or the money to be able to go and get all the services and have a working vehicle to get there. I was lucky I could even get to work.”

As a master budgeter, Beth does her best to prepare healthy meals and snacks. While SNAP and the food shelf help put food in her cupboards, there are barriers to accessing the services. Sometimes when Beth doesn’t have gas for her car or it’s not running, she can’t get to the food shelf.

---

**Work Support Programs that Reduce Food Expenses**

**Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)** helps families and individuals pay for food. Benefits can be spent at participating grocery and convenience stores. In 2012, 239,674 Minnesota children, or 18.8%, were enrolled in SNAP. Often referred to as the “SNAP Vaccine,” the program has proven to reduce developmental delays and improve health outcomes in children.36

**Woman, Infants and Children (WIC) Program** provides food vouchers for pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers and children under age 5. The vouchers pay for nutritious foods such as infant formula, beans, fruits, vegetables and milk. In 2012, 40 percent of infants and 20 percent of children ages 1 to 5 were enrolled in WIC.

**School Meal Program** pays for the cost of breakfast and lunch for children at school. Nearly 40 percent of school-age children were enrolled in 2013. Children enrolled in the School Meal Program often do not eat the free school breakfast. Only 45 percent of school breakfast meals available to low-income children are being served at Minnesota schools.37 Students who participate in the School Breakfast Program have better attendance, behavior and academic performance.38
MUCH OF A CHILD’S LEARNING and development happens at home. But if the home isn’t a stable, safe or healthy environment, the negative effects on children go with them to child care centers, school and into adulthood. Research shows children in low-income families that have limited access to affordable housing are more likely to live in crowded housing or become homeless, and are more likely to be food insecure and have to postpone accessing health care. Moreover, children who live in more affordable areas have better health and academic outcomes and fewer behavioral problems in adolescence. Affordable housing provides more room in the family budget to pay for other basic necessities such as food and health care, and provides stability and an environment for a child to better learn and grow.

BY THE NUMBERS

Nearly ONE-THIRD of children live in households with a high housing cost burden. Defined as spending 30% or more of household income on housing

3,546 children were estimated in 2012 to be homeless with their parents on any given night in Minnesota. HALF OF THESE CHILDREN ARE UNDER AGE 6.

Families with children 28.6% RENT

71.4% OWN

CHILDREN UNDER AGE 6 POISONED BY LEAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FAMILY STORY: SIMPSON HOUSING SERVICES

Without support with paying rent through Simpson Housing Services (SHS) and help with child care expenses through the Child Care Assistance Program, Tia wouldn’t be able to work or provide for her daughter. She knows the benefits of stable housing and high-quality child care will put her 3-year-old daughter on a smoother path to success than she was ever able to have.

Tia entered foster care at age 3. By the time she was 14, she had lived in 47 different homes. At 14, she was adopted by a friend’s family. But at age 19, she found herself disconnected from her adopted family, pregnant and living in a homeless shelter. Right after her daughter was born, Tia was connected with SHS in Minneapolis and started receiving supportive housing, parental support and education, and early education services. Tia now works full time and is eager to continue improving her and her daughter’s life.

“I want to keep moving up and go to school so [my daughter] can have a better future,” Tia said.

*Founded in 1981, SHS’ mission is to house, support and advocate for people experiencing homelessness. Each year SHS serves 2,000 men, women and families. SHS works with program participants to develop a plan to achieve housing stability, economic security and increase academic success for their children. SHS has three initiatives: overnight shelter for single adults, supportive housing for individuals experiencing long-term homelessness and supportive housing for families, including educational support for school-aged children and early childhood developmental support for children under the age of 5. Learn more at www.simpsonhousing.org.*

Work Support Programs that Reduce Housing Expenses

Programs that make housing affordable are notoriously underfunded and, therefore, unavailable to the majority of lower income families. For every 100 families across the country with income less than 30 percent of median income, there are only 31 affordable and available housing units. Most families with lower incomes pay market rate for housing. In the metro area, Fair Market Rent for a two-bedroom apartment is $920. That’s nearly half the earnings of someone with income equal to the Federal Poverty Guidelines for a family of four.

**Section 8** is a housing choice voucher program that helps people with lower incomes, the elderly and the disabled pay for housing. Recipients of the program pay 30 percent of their household income toward rent.

Various other state and federal public and private programs lower housing costs for lower income families through affordable housing complexes and voucher programs.
A CHILD’S HEALTH from prenatal to early adulthood is the foundation for successful passage into adulthood. To improve children’s life outcomes, it’s necessary to ensure they live in a healthy environment, have health insurance and access regular preventative care. A child’s health affects his or her ability to attend and focus in school, social development and health outcomes as adults. First and foremost, a child needs to be insured to improve access and affordability of care. Even families with moderate income struggle to afford insurance and access care. Medical debt is the number one cause for filing bankruptcy, and more than 16 million American children live in households struggling to pay medical bills. In addition, an insufficient number of providers, especially in rural areas, is a barrier to seeing a dentist, family practitioner or mental health professional. As progress in recent years fueled by Medicaid, Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) and the Affordable Care Act have demonstrated, it is not only possible but economically sensible to ensure all children have access to health insurance and care.

NUMBER OF CHILDREN WITHOUT HEALTH INSURANCE

PERCENT OF BABIES BORN AT A LOW BIRTH WEIGHT, AS PERCENT OF ALL BIRTHS

CHILDREN AGES 24 TO 35 MONTHS WHO ARE UP TO DATE ON THE VACCINE SERIES, 2013

4% OF BABIES BORN had mothers who received late or inadequate prenatal care

63%
**FAMILY STORY: OPEN DOOR HEALTH CENTER**

Rudy and his wife Maria both work in St. James, Minnesota, but because of their immigration status they cannot obtain health insurance through public health care programs. There are many reasons non-citizens are ineligible for public health care programs. Rudy and Maria’s two young children were born in the United States and have eligibility for Medical Assistance. Rudy filled out several paper applications for Medical Assistance on behalf of his children but received denials, so he gave up.

Unless there was a medical emergency, the family would try to treat any illness or injury at home. Eventually, Rudy was referred to Open Door Health Center (ODHC) in Mankato by a Spanish-language interpreter in his community. Rudy set up an appointment with an ODHC navigator and was able to successfully submit a health care application for his children through MNsure, the state’s online health insurance marketplace. As a result, his children now have medical and dental insurance and Rudy is thankful for that. Rudy thinks that every person should have health insurance that is easy to access and doesn’t take into account immigration status or income.

*ODHC increases access to quality, affordable, culturally appropriate, patient-centered health care. ODHC*

---

**Work Support Programs that Reduce Health Care Expenses**

**Medical Assistance (MA),** Minnesota’s name for Medicaid, is a health care program for some lower income Minnesotans. It’s a completely free program for children, but there are some very minimal costs for parents and adults without children. On average, 329,064 Minnesota children were enrolled in MA each month in 2013. Evidence across the country suggests that expanded access to Medicaid led to lower high school dropout rates, increased college attendance and more bachelor’s degrees.  

**Minnesotacare** is a health insurance program for Minnesotans with lower incomes who cannot get affordable insurance through their employer and do not qualify for Medical Assistance. On average, 41,763 Minnesota children were enrolled in Minnesotacare each month in 2013. Most of these children were moved into MA when the program was expanded by the Affordable Care Act on January 1, 2014.
**CHI LD CARE**

**AS PART OF THE FAMILY BUDGET**, child care is an expense that is often necessary for one or both parents to work outside the home. But child care can be much more than a safe place to bring children while at work. A high-quality child care setting—one with nurturing teacher-child interaction, high-quality instruction and ongoing assessment of the child’s development—improves a child’s chances to be academically and socially ready for kindergarten. This leads to increased opportunity for success in grade school, graduation from high school and higher earnings as an adult. But affordable child care, regardless of quality, is often far too expensive for working families. According to Child Care Aware of America, Minnesota ranked third among states for the most expensive child care. Even for families earning the annual median income for families raising children in Minnesota ($73,900), the cost of child care for one infant is nearly 19 percent of household income. Increased access to and affordability of high-quality early childhood education programs is a two-generation approach to improving outcomes for lower income children because it allows parents to work, increases family income and starts children on a path to success.

**3 AND 4 YEAR OLDS NOT ATTENDING PRESCHOOL BY INCOME, 2010–2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Percentage Not in Preschool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children below 200% poverty</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children above 200% poverty</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KINDERGARTNERS PROFICIENT IN ALL FIVE DOMAINS BY FAMILY INCOME, 2012**

Minnesota Department of Education measures school readiness across five different categories: language and literacy, math, socio-emotional development, the arts and physical health. Proficiency in all five categories consistently predicts the outcomes of third grade reading and math scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Proficiency Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 250% of Poverty</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 250% and 400% of Poverty</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 400% of Poverty</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BY THE NUMBERS**

**Annual cost of center-based infant care is MORE THAN ONE YEAR’S TUITION at the University of Minnesota** $13,836
FAMILY STORY: ONE MOTHER’S EXPERIENCE APPLYING FOR CHILD CARE ASSISTANCE

When Stefanie applied for the Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP) for her 2½-year-old daughter, she looked forward to a high-quality program where her daughter could get prepared for school and socialize with children her age. But because she lived in Ramsey County and there were no more funds to enroll additional families in the program, Stefanie was put on a waitlist. Currently the statewide waitlist includes nearly 7,000 families with 225 living in Ramsey County. In order for Stefanie to continue working at her job as a program manager at a group home, she moved in with her parents so her mother could take care of her daughter while she was at work.

While Stefanie is grateful that her daughter can be cared for by her mother, it isn’t always easy. “My mom doesn’t have much of a life because she has to watch [my daughter] all the time,” Stefanie said. “When my mom gets sick, has an appointment or goes on vacation, I either have to miss work or find another [child care arrangement].”

More than two years after getting on the CCAP waitlist, when her daughter was almost 5, Stefanie received a notice from Ramsey County that she had an opportunity to move off the waitlist and apply and enroll in CCAP. However, during that two years she received a promotion and modest raise. After filling out the application with anticipated excitement, she was shocked to find out she now earned too much to be eligible for the program.

“They shouldn’t put families on waitlists for the program,” Stefanie said. “Income limits should increase for [CCAP] and Head Start.”

Work Support Programs that Reduce Child Care Expenses

- **Child Care Assistance Program** helps parents with lower incomes pay for child care for children under 13 or children with disabilities under age 15. CCAP has three subprograms to help families:
  - **Basic Sliding Fee (BSF)** is for parents who are working, looking for work or going to school;
  - **Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP)** Child Care is for parents on MFIP; and
  - **Transition Year Child Care** is for parents in the first year after leaving MFIP.

All families on MFIP that meet work requirements have access to MFIP Child Care and nearly all have access to Transition Year Child Care. However, BSF CCAP is not fully funded, so nearly 7,000 families are on a waitlist for the program. Only 82 percent of income eligible children are enrolled in CCAP. In 2013, 15,538 children participated in BSF CCAP and 15,681 children participated in MFIP Child Care and Transition Year.
DROP CHILD OFF AT CHILD CARE. Drive to work. Pick up child from child care. Drive to grocery store. Drop child off at t-ball practice. Pick child up from t-ball practice and drive home. For many parents a lot of time is spent in their car shuttling children to and from school and activities and driving to and from work. Every minute spent in the car is money spent on gas, maintenance and insurance—expenses so high that many low-income families struggle to afford a car. When families don’t have money to purchase or maintain a car, let alone fill up the gas tank, it can prevent parents from working, increase their stress level and reduce a child’s access to after-school programs and healthy food. Moreover, there are few public or private programs that assist families with purchasing and maintaining a car and filling gas tanks. The programs across the state that do help a family purchase a car have proven to increase work hours and reduce welfare participation.

FAMILY RESOURCE: TRANSIT FOR LIVABLE COMMUNITIES

Transit for Livable Communities (TLC) is a nonprofit organization working to transform Minnesota’s transportation system to strengthen community, improve health and opportunity for all people, foster a sound economy, and protect our natural resources. Recognizing that transportation is often an unmet basic need, TLC’s Transportation Options program combines workshops for social service staff and assistance for clients/participants with the goal of addressing the high cost of getting around. The program focuses on the asset building potential of options such as biking, walking, transit, car sharing and bike sharing. The workshop immerses social service staff into the realities, barriers and solutions to using options other than driving to access jobs, education and financial stability. Learn more at www.tlcminnesota.org.

“Transportation is definitely a critical point in breaking the cycle of poverty.”

—Joan McDonough-Schlecht, Neighborhood House, a TLC partner organization

| CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT A VEHICLE | 4% |

4% of children are without a vehicle at home

$475

The average monthly cost of car maintenance, insurance and gas for a two-parent household in Minnesota.

This only includes transportation to and from work, school and religious activities.
OTHER NECESSITIES

FAMILY STORY: ANGELS OF HOPE

When Karen’s family home burned down a couple of years ago, they lost nearly everything. During their time of need, Angels of Hope in Pine City was a lifesaver, Karen said. The nonprofit food shelf is one of few organizations in rural Minnesota that provides donated essentials to families in need. Karen received furniture, clothing and food to help her family rebuild their home.

Started and run by local community member Len Slama, Angels of Hope is a service for lower income families in rural Pine City for monthly food shelf visits, holiday food baskets and other necessities such as furnishings, diapers and clothing. With no paid staff, just volunteers, they go above and beyond by delivering food baskets to families or seniors that lack transportation and periodically checking up on the people they serve.

“Angels of Hope will always remain lovingly in our hearts,” Karen said. “When people kept giving us stuff after the fire, we gave the excess items to Angels of Hope so we could give back.”

BESIDES THE OBVIOUS FAMILY BUDGET LINE ITEMS, there are a few other necessities that families need to have a safe and healthy standard of living. This includes housekeeping supplies, household furnishings and equipment, telephone services, clothing, and personal care products and services. For families with younger children this also includes the cost of diapers, wipes, car seats and other items necessary for young children. Oftentimes these items are the first to be sacrificed when families face a budget crunch. There are few public or private programs to reduce the costs of these types of items. Occasionally, these items are available at food shelves and other distribution centers, but quantities are limited and they are quick to leave the shelves. Safety, self-esteem and health concerns can affect children in families who struggle to afford these necessities.

BY THE NUMBERS

An American Academy of Pediatrics study found that 30% of low-income mothers report a need for diapers. The study also found that diaper need is a contributing factor to maternal depression.65

Lower income families on average spend 20% of their food and housing costs on other necessities for a safe standard of living.66

1.6%
10,284
of households with children don’t have a telephone at home67
FOR FAMILIES STRUGGLING to meet their basic needs, developing assets often appears to be an unattainable dream afforded to those who don’t have to worry about where their next meal will come from or whether or not they will be able to make the rent payment. Although not necessarily a basic need, assets cannot be overlooked when examining how family economics affect child outcomes. For all families, developing assets is the pathway to achieving economic stability that allows them to weather the inevitable financial storms of flat tires, pink slips and medical emergencies. Assets should include savings for emergencies, retirement and college, as well as purchases that improve economic stability and opportunity such as homes, cars and education. It’s not just low-income families that struggle to develop assets. One-quarter of middle class households in the United States are liquid-asset poor. Liquid asset poverty is conservatively defined as having savings that equal less than three months worth of earnings at the poverty level or $5,887 for a family of four. Asset development starts with being able to meet basic needs, so that’s why work support programs are essential to creating long-term economic stability. The next step for many families is getting household debt under control and improving credit scores. Then lower income families can identify opportunities to save through budgeting, tax credits and increased access to mainstream financial services.

**BY THE NUMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28%</td>
<td>of Minnesota households live in liquid asset poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76%</td>
<td>of Minnesota households have savings accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>of Minnesota households are underbanked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
<td>of Minnesota consumers have subprime credit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquid asset poverty is defined as having savings less than three months worth of earnings at the poverty level or $5,887 for a family of four.

White households’ homeownership rate is TWICE that of non-white households.

$9,463 AVERAGE CREDIT CARD DEBT
**Programs that Assist Families in Building Assets**

**Family Assets for Independence in Minnesota (FAIM):** The FAIM program helps Minnesota workers build assets through purchase of a first home, pursuit of a higher education at an accredited public post-secondary institution or small business capitalization. FAIM account holders place monthly savings into Bremer Bank or City County Federal Credit Union family asset accounts (savings accounts) that will be matched $3 to $1 upon completion of program requirements. The FAIM program is administered by agencies throughout Minnesota. Learn more about FAIM at http://minnesotafaim.com.
State-Level Data Tables

State-level data historically collected in the Minnesota KIDS COUNT Data book can be found on the following pages. The data are broken out into eight categories so that readers can easily find the information:

- Demographics
- Family and Caregivers
- Economic Security
- Early Childhood
- K–12 Education
- Healthy Development
- Food and Nutrition
- Safe Homes and Communities

Indicators available at the county level are highlighted with a CT in the left hand column. Please visit the KIDS COUNT Data Center (datacenter.kidscount.org) to find the most recent county-level information along with other state-level data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEMOGRAPHICS</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT Child population, As % of total population</td>
<td>1,276,148</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 0-4, As % of children</td>
<td>348,338</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 5-11, As % of children</td>
<td>501,505</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 12-14, As % of children</td>
<td>212,115</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 15-17, As % of children</td>
<td>214,190</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Children by Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic, As % of children</td>
<td>924,463</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, non-Hispanic, As % of children</td>
<td>98,200</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian, non-Hispanic, As % of children</td>
<td>17,361</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, non-Hispanic, As % of children</td>
<td>69,266</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races, non-Hispanic, As % of children</td>
<td>58,474</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino, As % of children</td>
<td>103,969</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = Data also available by county on KIDS COUNT Data Center website: http://datacenter.kidscount.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAMILY AND CAREGIVERS</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Households raising children, As % of all households</td>
<td>653,463</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in households:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with married adults, As % of children in households</td>
<td>906,000</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mother only, As % of children in households</td>
<td>265,000</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with father only, As % of children in households</td>
<td>93,000</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children being raised by unmarried, cohabitating partners, As % of children</td>
<td>102,000</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children being raised by grandparents, As % of children</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in immigrant families (child and/or parent is foreign-born), As % of children</td>
<td>211,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Total births, Rate per 1,000 children</td>
<td>68,783</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births by Maternal Education, As % of births</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 4 years of high school</td>
<td>7,479</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years of high school or GED completed</td>
<td>11,703</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college credit but no degree</td>
<td>13,542</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Degree</td>
<td>9,054</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>17,868</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s, Doctorate, or Professional Degree</td>
<td>8,456</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to US-born mothers, As % of births</td>
<td>56,581</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to foreign-born mothers, As % of births</td>
<td>12,202</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children born to married mothers, As % of births</td>
<td>45,980</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children born to unmarried mothers, As % of births</td>
<td>22,790</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children born with no father listed on the birth certificate, As % of births</td>
<td>9,175</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children born to teenage (ages 15 to 17) mothers, Rate per 1,000 15- to 17-year-olds, 2010-2012</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in the Family Assessment Response program, Rate per 1,000 children</td>
<td>16,857</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in out-of-home placements, Rate per 1,000 children</td>
<td>11,453</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children aging out of foster care without a permanent family</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children who were state wards waiting for adoptive homes, year-end</td>
<td>696</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CT* = Data also available by county on KIDS COUNT Data Center website: http://datacenter.kidscount.org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Security</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children living in extreme poverty, As % of children</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children living in poverty, As % of children</td>
<td>184,000</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White children in poverty, As % of all white children</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American children in poverty, As % of all African American children</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian children in poverty, As % of all Asian children</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian children in poverty, As % of all American Indian children</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic children in poverty, As % of all Hispanic children</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrant children in poverty, As % of all immigrant children</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children age 5 and under living in poverty, As % of children age 5 and under</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children below 200% of poverty, As % of children</td>
<td>419,000</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families living in poverty, As % of families</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married-couple families with children in poverty, As % of all married-couple families with children</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-parent families with children in poverty, As % of all single-parent families with children</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire population living in poverty, As % of population</td>
<td>598,000</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median annual income of families raising children (in 2012 dollars)</td>
<td>$73,900</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median annual income of White families (in 2012 dollars)</td>
<td>$76,814</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median annual income of African American families (in 2012 dollars)</td>
<td>$31,600</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median annual income of American Indian families (in 2012 dollars)</td>
<td>$28,665</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median annual income of Asian families (in 2012 dollars)</td>
<td>$70,987</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median annual income of Hispanic families (in 2012 dollars)</td>
<td>$41,722</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families with all resident parents in the workforce, As % of families</td>
<td>498,848</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax households who claimed the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), as % of tax households</td>
<td>336,647</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>TY 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total value of the EITC</td>
<td>$694,990,783</td>
<td></td>
<td>TY 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP)</td>
<td>35,408</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Child-only cases</td>
<td>24,213</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Adult-eligible cases</td>
<td>11,195</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in Tribal TANF cases</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of families collecting Child Support, As % of eligible families</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households headed by unmarried women who are receiving Child Support, As % of households headed by unmarried women</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = Data also available by county on KIDS COUNT Data Center website: http://datacenter.kidscount.org
### Early Childhood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT Children born preterm, As % of births</td>
<td>5,287</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Children born at low birth weight, As % of births</td>
<td>3,297</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children age 3 and 4 not enrolled in preschool</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>2010-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Cost of center-based child care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant</td>
<td>$13,836</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddler</td>
<td>$11,929</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschooler</td>
<td>$10,641</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-Age</td>
<td>$9,143</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Cost of family-based child care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant</td>
<td>$7,737</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddler</td>
<td>$7,337</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschooler</td>
<td>$6,997</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-Age</td>
<td>$6,326</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children under age 6 with all available parents in the workforce, As % of children under age 6</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in the Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP), average monthly enrollment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or Transition Year Child Care Assistance Program</td>
<td>15,681</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Sliding Fee (BSF)</td>
<td>15,538</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families on waiting lists for the CCAP</td>
<td>6,679</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jun-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children served by Head Start or Early Head Start</td>
<td>14,898</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children served by Part C Early Intervention and have Individual Family Service Plans (IFSPs), 2012-13 school year</td>
<td>5,027</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### K-12 Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students enrolled in non-public schools</td>
<td>68,521</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Students enrolled in K-12 public schools</td>
<td>836,207</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT K-12 public school students with limited English proficiency, As % of K-12 public school students</td>
<td>64,362</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT K-12 public school students enrolled in special education, As % of K-12 public school students</td>
<td>112,273</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Students changing schools, As % of K-12 public school students</td>
<td>107,742</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Students who graduated in 4 years, As % of public school students</td>
<td>53,962</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Students who dropped out within 4 years, As % of public school students</td>
<td>3,418</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children age 6 to 12 with all available parents in the workforce, As % of children age 6 to 12</td>
<td>381,000</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = Data also available by county on KIDS COUNT Data Center website: http://datacenter.kidscount.org
### Healthy Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children without health insurance, As % of children</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>2010-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Average monthly enrollment of children in Medical Assistance</td>
<td>329,064</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Average monthly enrollment of children in MinnesotaCare</td>
<td>41,763</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children born to mothers who smoked during pregnancy, As % of births</td>
<td>10,417</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children whose mothers received late or inadequate prenatal care, As % of births</td>
<td>2,463</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children whose mothers experienced frequent postpartum depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children 24 to 35 months who are up-to-date with the vaccine series, As % of children 24 to 35 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.9% Aug-13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Food and Nutrition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>K-12 students approved for free or reduced-price school lunch, As % of K-12 students</td>
<td>322,000</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Average monthly enrollment of children receiving SNAP, As % of children</td>
<td>239,674</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Average monthly participation in the WIC nutrition program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women (pregnant, breastfeeding and post-partum)</td>
<td>29,254</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infants (less than 1 year old), As % of children under age 1</td>
<td>27,814</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children (1 to 5 years old), As % of children age 1 to 5</td>
<td>71,368</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households that are “food insecure,” As % of households</td>
<td>228,324</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children in families visiting food shelves (non-unique, counted each visit)</td>
<td>1,241,888</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children in the Summer Food Service Program (average daily participation), As % of those enrolled in free and reduced-price school lunches</td>
<td>36,472</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Safe Homes and Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent/Rate</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children under age 6 testing positive for lead poisoning</td>
<td>527</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children living in crowded housing, As % of children</td>
<td>121,000</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Households where housing costs exceed 30% of income, As % of all housing units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>340,271</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renter</td>
<td>273,380</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Housing status for families with children, As % of occupied family housing units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>442,523</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renter</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>177,257</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children age 10 to 17 arrested for serious crimes, Rate per 1,000 children age 10 to 17</td>
<td>8,238</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children who died from unintentional injuries</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children abused or neglected, Rate per 1,000 children</td>
<td>4,288</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Children who committed suicide or were murdered</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT= Data also available by county on KIDS COUNT Data Center website: http://datacenter.kidscount.org
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